

A Narrative on the Use of Vignette: Its Advantages and Drawbacks

Fatemeh Erfanian (PhD)¹, Robab Latifnejad Roudsari (PhD)^{2*}, Abbas Haidari (PhD)², Mohsen Noghani Dokht Bahmani (PhD)³

¹ Assistant Professor, Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

² Professor, Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

³ Associate Professor, Department of Social Sciences, Faculty of Literature and Humanity, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article type:
Review article

Article History:
Received: 07-Jul-2019
Accepted: 24-Dec-2019

Key words:
Vignette
Quantitative research
Qualitative research
Research tool

ABSTRACT

Background & aim: In some situations in quantitative or qualitative research, complementary tools would be of great help in data collection. Vignette is a data collection tool, which has been used for over 50 years in different disciplines including health sciences. Nonetheless, there is a paucity of information regarding this method. With this background in mind, the present study aimed to provide thorough information about the vignette.

Methods: This was a narrative review conducted through searching of databases of ISI Web of Science (65), PubMed (27), Science Direct (123), CINAHL (110), ProQuest (23), ERIC (44), Scopus (24) using keywords including "vignette", "qualitative research", "quantitative research", and "research" from their inception to September, 2018 to assess those studies regarding different aspects of vignette. Out of 416 documents, a number of 105 books and articles were reviewed regarding the different aspects of the vignette. No language restrictions were imposed.

Results: Vignettes are used in different forms including text, illustrations, images, videotape and cartoons, computer-based data, and music as an elicitation tool to provide supplementary and complementary data during a research process. Using this tool, the researcher can gather some data which is not accessible through other sources. At the same time, the researchers should be cautious about its accurate design and validity to get more realistic data.

Conclusion: Vignette as an effective tool can be employed in both quantitative and qualitative research to gather more diverse and thorough data even working cross-culturally.

► Please cite this paper as:

Erfanian F, Latifnejad Roudsari R, Heydari A, Noghani Dokht Bahmani M. A Narrative on the Use of Vignette: Its Advantages and Drawbacks. Journal of Midwifery and Reproductive Health. 2020; 8(2): 2134-2145. DOI: 10.22038/jmrh.2020.41650.1472

Introduction

Vignette is used as an elicitation tool which facilitates the discovery of subjects' responses to presumptive situations (1). Text forms are usually used by researchers (1, 2); nevertheless, other forms, such as static and moving images (film) (2) video material and cartoons (3, 4) and other forms of presentation have been also employed (2, 5, 6). The textual vignettes range from short written texts to long story prompts (2).

Vignettes are defined as "short stories about

hypothetical characters in specified circumstances, and the interview is invited to respond to those situations" (Finch, 1987) (7).

Other descriptions are as follows:

-Any short scenarios in the textual or pictographic form regarding stimulation responses to distinct scenarios(8).

- The actual cases of people and their behaviors in which participants can propose their statement or viewpoint(9).

- A tool for the extraction of important points

* *Corresponding author:* Robab Latifnejad Roudsari. Professor, Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Tel: 985138591511; Email:latifnejadr@mums.ac.ir, rlatifnejad@yahoo.com

from stories about characters, opinions, beliefs, and attitudes(10).

-Forrester (1990) has described vignettes as “ the simulations of real events: a small illustration “ in research terms(11).

Apart from the written form, the media of vignettes include videotape, computer-based data, and music (12).

In clinical research, vignette has been broadly used in recent decades to compare the quality of clinical care. Moreover, it has been applied to assess various practices in health care systems, specialties, or clinicians, such as conducting vignette-based research to identify disparities in practice. For instance, it can be used to assess the management of postpartum hemorrhage among midwifery students (13, 14). In addition, vignette can also be employed when it comes to revealing discrepancies between available guidelines and practices and assess the quality of care provided by health care workers in such fields as midwifery or obstetrics (13, 15-17).

Vignettes have been used in quantitative (18) and qualitative research, and the use of vignettes in qualitative research is on the rise (19). It is worthy to note that in qualitative research, vignettes are more convenient, especially in well-designed qualitative research (20).

Researchers are nowadays investigating this issue, and more studies have been recommended for the exploration of its different aspects (2-4). The authors of this paper, during their research project, have been diagnosed that vignette can be used as a very valuable and useful tool for gathering data .Nevertheless ,they couldn't find gathered information in this regard . Consequently, this narrative review was carried out. On the other hand, the present study aimed to provide comprehensive and thorough information about vignette through a narrative review.

Materials and Methods

This was a narrative review study which was performed using some keywords, including “vignette”, “qualitative research”, and “quantitative research”. The following databases were searched: ISI Web of Science (65), PubMed (27), Science Direct (123), CINAHL (110), ProQuest (23), ERIC (44) and Scopus (24) since their inception up to September 5th, 2018 to assess those studies regarding different aspect

of vignette. No language restrictions were imposed. A number of 303 records were excluded on the basis of title and abstract, and 105 books and articles were reviewed to obtain information concerning the various aspects of vignettes and its applicability in qualitative and qualitative research in different disciplines.

Ethical consideration: In the current paper, the authors just reviewed and gathered related publications.

Results

In the current paper, the thorough research regarding vignette has been expressed as Vignette execution, Practical reward of vignettes, Limitation of the vignette, and Building a vignette. The results and important practical aspects of these issues are represented in the sections as follows:

Vignette execution

The Administration of vignette varies according to the design of the research and the way of data collection, vignettes presentation, and how to gain the responses (12).

Data collection

Vignette has been used to extract the opinions of participants either in a group or individually. They are of great help to members in expressing their opinions in a group and discuss with each other (5, 21). Moreover, they provide an opportunity to compare the interpretations of different groups regarding the equal situation and express their values and norms of behavior (22).

Presentation of vignettes: Vignettes can be presented in a myriad of ways. Open-ended questions have been demonstrated to be of great value (2, 10, 23, 24), and another popular technique is unfinished sentences to provoke discussion among participants (25).

Open-ended questions could promote creativity among people (24), it can also provide more realistic responses to real-life conditions (26). On the other hand, closed or forced-choice vignettes (27) tend to be used more frequently in quantitative research. They allow a broad kind of variables to be integrated in the research design (1, 12, 28-31). However, they are not as useful as the open-ended questions for the social situation (1, 2).

In theory, employing a mixture of closed-ended and open-ended questions capture the benefits of both; nonetheless, such an approach may be inappropriate or impossible (1, 2, 7, 12, 32, 33). Therefore, it has been recommended that the use of closed or open-ended questions be systematically evaluated due to the dearth of information in this regard (2, 34).

Response perspectives: Participants may respond to vignettes differently: (1) from the point of the vignette characters; (2) people more commonly (3) and participants own personal opinions (2, 12, 35) depending on the aim of the research, topics, and participants of the group (2).

In some studies, the researcher asks the participant to adopt the role of an informant, then poses his/her questions in terms of the character and condition of the vignette (34, 36-38). In some other studies, participants regard themselves as the vignette character and then respond (39-41). It is noteworthy that in vignettes in which participants act as consultants, they can respond from the viewpoints of vignette characters or may discuss with them regarding the probable reaction of peers and other people to vignette situations (42-44).

In qualitative research, participants are commonly asked to respond to a particular situation by stating what they would do, or how a third person, generally a character in the story, would react to certain situations or occurrences, which often entail some form of moral dilemmas. Sometimes participants are asked to comment on both (45).

In summary, vignette in qualitative research could be administered as an ice breaker, eliciting cultural norms derived from respondents' attitudes and beliefs about a specific situation, as a complementary technique alongside other data collection methods, in exploring potentially sensitive topics, examining different groups' interpretations of a 'uniform' situation, closing the interview, and within a focus group (7, 9, 10, 12, 45-49).

Practical reward and strength of vignettes

Vignettes are definitely of great help in difficult or sensitive topics by the desensitization of subject matter (1, 5, 35) and directing attention

to specific elements of complex processes (12), and this matter has been well documented (5, 7, 10, 25). Therefore, the participants feel more comfortable and less threatened to speak about their own situation, real opinions, and actions since they feel it is non-personal (1, 2, 5, 7, 12, 22, 25, 33, 35, 50, 51).

This method has been used for data collection when the researcher has no other way to gather the data at all or for a few cases, as well as when there are methodological challenges (5).

Vignette sometimes leads to an ambiguity in the results helping the researcher to find new opinions and situations which were not previously distinguished. Therefore, flexibility is regarded as one of the important elements of a vignette (52).

In research studies, the manifestation of diseases can be written in the form of a vignette (as a short-term description) which illuminates how the signs and symptoms of diseases manifest themselves. These descriptions which are known as clinical vignettes may be used as effective tools to measure a variety of variables regarding the nature of disease and also the performance of health care workers in different aspects (53).

It has been well documented that vignette is a valuable method for collecting less socially desirable information since participants assume a role in the vignette (1, 2, 5, 41, 54). In addition, a phenomenon known as Hawthorne has been argued by Gould (1996) as one of the main opinions in using them (55). He noted that the growing acceptance of vignettes is due to the increasing recognition of the boundaries of questionnaires in studies of attitudes, perceptions, beliefs, and norms (55). Discussion during individual interviews (10) and stimulation for group discussions can be mentioned as other advantages of the vignette (56). Furthermore, this method is economical owing to financing, time of research, and collecting more uniform data (55).

In addition, using vignettes, multifaceted issues could be assessed (57), and a distance would be created between the participants and the researcher (5, 25, 50). Moreover, due to the lack of detail in a vignette, participants fill the gap through their responses which is important for advance research demonstrating that the

interpretation of participants is valuable as a research tool (52).

When we use the vignette method, the participants' awareness of subject matter is not mandatory (58), rather we can use it to stimulate participants' meanings automatically (59, 60). In these studies, an unrealistic event could also be used to assess peoples' reactions (5). Vignettes can be employed when it comes to difficult access to participants and serious ethical problems (1, 5).

In qualitative research, vignette can be used as an ice breaker and a way for rapport development, enhancement of existing data, or generation of those data which has not collected through other methods, such as interviews or observation. Moreover, it desensitizes sensitive morally-charged topics, stimulates cultural norms resultant from attitudes and beliefs of participants regarding a specific situation, and discloses harmony or disharmony in the incongruent group regarding uniform condition (7, 9, 10, 12, 45-49)

The vignette can be used as an efficient tool for theoretical sampling when the grounded approach has been used (1). In a study conducted by Fook et al. (1997), new vignettes were utilized for probing emergent themes as the research developed (61).

Limitation of vignette

Validity could be mentioned as one of the biggest methodological concerns when vignette is used in quantitative research (62). Although vignettes stimulate the elements of research in varying grades (55, 63), they are unable to fully capture the elements of reality and the lives of people the same as other research tools (2, 5, 64-66).

In fact, despite the advantages of vignettes, there is still debate surrounding their ability to represent social realities (10, 25). However, some researchers found that vignettes are efficient when it comes to the schematic nature of presenting the material. Therefore, it is necessary to access the consistency between the used vignettes and the actual life situations (64, 67). In this regard, written vignettes (paper people vignettes) are not recommended, as compared to videotaped or real-life events since they could shed more light on situation or behavior of people (64, 67)

The detachment of participants' experience from vignette characters is another important issue which needs consideration (68). If this gap is very huge, the participant will face serious problems while adopting the role of vignette characters (68) (2) (5). Interpretation is another issue which is not fully understood in the use of vignette (7, 58, 69). Finch (1987) reported that the dearth of information, the way of obtaining information in vignettes, kinds of assumptions participants should make during interpretation, and specific elements of vignettes lead to certain responses (7). It is worth noting that these issues can be addressed using less structured vignettes; consequently, disclosure and clarification of the subject under the study could be attained (2).

Another issue worth mentioning is the debate surrounding the relationship between belief and action (2) which causes serious concern over capturing reality in the development of vignette (12). It has been indicated that there might be a disparity between what people think they would do in a given situation and their actual behavior (22, 25, 27, 51). Nonetheless, Finch (1987) suggested that this inconsistency is not a matter of concern (7). In general, the complex relationship between the reported behaviors and the actual ones is under question (1).

Building a vignette

Different factors contribute to the construction of vignettes, and the aim of the study plays the leading role in this regard (22, 57); nevertheless, some other key factors may also exist in different projects. It is recommended that four issues be considered for the development of vignette, including data sources, vignette format, capturing reality, and vignette/participant congruence (12).

However, their appropriateness for the research topic, participant type and their interests, relevance, realism, and timing of vignettes in the research are of paramount importance during the development and construction of vignettes (2). These items are elaborated below:

Internal validity of vignettes

The internal validity refers to the extent that the research topic under the study has been captured by the content of vignettes (55, 62). Different kinds of sources could be used for the

construction of a vignette (12), including previous research findings, literature reviews, or a mixture of them (69-72), individual experiences of researchers and their consultants (34, 73-75) along with real case studies and personal experiences (33, 37, 43, 72, 74). In general, if vignettes are employed in an appropriate manner, such studies will be considered "hybrid" research indicating the use of vignette increases the internal and external validity of the study (76).

More specific questions should be asked to ensure internal validity, for instance, if the researcher has reviewed the related literature about vignette development? If an expert panel with adequate knowledge and experience for the judgment of vignettes appropriateness has examined them? If the vignette questions have been pretested for the extraction of vague or unsuitable items? (2)

Generally, vignettes are piloted before their usage, and experts may be employed to evaluate their representation of the subject under the study (62). These arguments regarding vignette are worth assessment (1) and could reinforce this aspect of vignettes, especially in the studies which need more realistic vignettes (55, 62). Nonetheless, it is significant to consider the logic behind using vignette when it comes to internal validity (1, 32, 77-79)

The topic of the research

The topic of the research should be taken into account for the construction of vignette (2, 5). The textual form of the vignette is commonly used; however, moving or static images, as well as the real actions of participants, can be employed (2). Textual vignettes could range from short written texts to longer stories; nonetheless, the distinguishing feature of vignettes is their conciseness (22, 51, 57). On the other hand, video vignettes provide more precise information with higher complexity, and they convey their meaning to participants more conveniently (2, 51). In this regard, in designing vignettes, it should be considered that video vignettes have higher cognitive demands, as compared to textual form (2, 64).

The character of participant groups

The type of vignette and the participant group should be matched (68). It should be considered

that the type of vignette and its character should be appropriate for specific individuals in the study (5, 12). As mentioned earlier, there is a range of different types of vignettes, such as narrative story, computer-based, music videos, or comic book style, flipbook, posters, response cards, games, and filmed materials (21, 25, 80, 81). For example, it could be frustrating if a young person is asked to imagine themselves as an elderly character (12). Therefore, some decisions should be made, such as checking the complexity versus simplicity, vagueness versus clarity, and informal versus formal language. In addition, vignettes must not be too complex to be easily understood (45, 51).

To decide on the degree of vignette complexity, participant type should be taken into account (12). For instance, if participants are children or people with learning difficulties, vignettes should be designed in simpler forms (5, 25). When the textual form is less acceptable, we use image type of the vignette; nonetheless, the textual type may work well for other groups (2).

Furthermore, the length of the vignette seems to be important in working with specific groups of respondents (82). For instance, a 200-300-word text is suitable for young people and a 150-word text suits children (25). In people with learning difficulties, vignette should be provided in small sentences and single words (5, 12).

These issues highlighted the importance of close attention to Vignette type (2, 12). Chambers and Craig (1998) suggested that more studies should be performed to assess the reliability and validity of vignette, rather than developing new types (83).

Notification, relevancy, realism, and timing

Vignettes are more effective when they seem real, are related to people's lives and match participants' interests (2). However, they are somewhat hypothetical depending on their construction, including realistic, fictional, or mixture of them (57). It is worth noting that more close attention is needed while constructing a vignette which is solely based on fiction (12).

Lazenbatt and Wallace (2010) are of the belief that hypothetical vignettes are imperfect in their ability to capture real-life dynamics of decision-making processes (84). Other researchers suggested that if situations are in a hypothetical

form, participants may answer in a similarly hypothetical manner (2). It is argued that less hypothetical vignettes are more likely to elicit actions which match the actual behavior (12). It should also be considered that unrealistic scenarios can make participants react negatively (52).

Staging vignette scenarios (56, 85, 86), extensive written narratives, and storybooks (4, 10, 33, 87) would be of great help in maintaining participants interested. To avoid respondent fatigue, short vignettes employed at different times during research meetings, changing tasks, and offering breaks could be worthwhile (88). Moreover, a higher response rate can be obtained using such a vignette in the form of postal questionnaires (89).

It should be mentioned that participants may lose their interest and get tired of responding, often repetitively, to a number of short vignettes. Furthermore, answering to vignettes repetitively can bring about a carryover effect from one vignette to another (90). This effect is more obvious when there is a slight continuity between the scenarios of the vignette. In a similar vein, longer vignettes may be replied carelessly when the interest of participants declines over time (79).

The timing of vignettes is also of utmost importance. The restriction of participants' allocated time may distress the quality of data (91). In addition, Stolte (1994) disclosed that obligatory time limitations for answering vignettes lessen the effect of the vignette (92). Nevertheless, for the visual imagery vignette, time is not as important as it is for textual or other forms since participants can answer them more quickly (59). However, the selectivity of vignettes is regarded as one of the valuable features of the method (2).

In conclusion, it should be considered that vignettes need to be straightforward (51), and the phrasing of the vignette should be considered (25). The language of vignettes should match participants' literary skills, as well as such backgrounds as age, gender, education, and social class (82). Finch (1987) revealed that participants may assume that there are 'correct' answers. Therefore, it is important to assure them that there is no right or wrong answer when responding to vignettes (2, 7). The

requirement of vignettes is adequate contextual information to enable the participants to distinguish the situation; nonetheless, it should be vague enough for participants to afford supplementary comments (45, 51).

Discussion

Vignette is a method which has been applied in many disciplines over the past 50 years (12). It has a long history in exploring an insightful number of phenomena in behavioral, social, and health sciences in the form of quantitative or qualitative research (76).

In the early 1950s, vignettes were used in anthropology and psychology; moreover, it has recently found its place in nursing (93) (94) (95). In addition, it is nowadays employed in such disciplines as education (81) (96), occupational therapy (27), social work (97-100), and nursing (5, 19, 55, 101-103). Furthermore, it is utilized for potentially sensitive social and health issues, such as sexual health, HIV, mental health, stigmatization, violence, as well as in specific vulnerable populations, such as children (10, 22, 25, 35).

Torres (2009) pointed to the particular strength of vignettes when working cross-culturally since they allow researchers to take advantage of the 'peculiarities' of different cultures (82). Vignette studies can be used as a practicable alternative to other data collection tools, such as an interview or focus group. In this regard, vignette has been suggested to be a sensitive and ethical data collection instrument in research projects (14, 20). In this method, participants are able to focus on imaginary characters provided by vignettes; accordingly, the morally-charged and sensitive conversations could be initiated (14).

In the studies which use vignettes, the researcher should ascertain that the prepared vignette is congruent with the purpose of the study. More accurate vignettes (as a data collection tool) which match the aim of the study elicit more and deeper discussion among the participants (14, 20, 76).

The strength of vignette lies in the fact that it could be used effectively in complex studies, highlights or unravels those subject matters which cannot be revealed during the common

research process and for sensitive issues.

Table1. Important aspects of vignettes

| Important aspects of vignettes | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Vignette Execution | Data collection: Extraction of participants' opinions in the form of: -group -individually |
| | Presentation of vignettes: -Open-ended questions -Closed or forced choice -A mixture of closed and open questions |
| | Response perspectives: Perspectives of different participants in the form of: - Vignette characters' viewpoints -People -Their own personal outlooks -Their perspective as an informant(depending on the aims of the research, topics, and participants of the group) |
| The practical reward of vignettes | -Difficult or sensitive topics or less socially desirable issues -The researcher has no other ways to gather the data at all or for a few cases -The presence of methodological challenges -The ambiguity of the results, helping the researcher to find new opinions and situations which were not previously distinguished - Clinical vignettes - Its strength in the assessment of attitudes, perceptions, beliefs, and norms -Discussion during individual interview and stimulation for group discussions - Economical feature and its time saving - The assessment of multifaceted issues - Its advantages regarding the difficulty in access to participants -In qualitative research, it can be used as: Ice breaker and a way for rapport development, enhancement of existing data, or generation of those data which has not collected through other methods, desensitizing sensitive morally-charged topics, stimulating cultural norms resultant from attitudes and beliefs of participants regarding a specific situation, disclosing harmony or disharmony in the incongruent group regarding uniform condition. |
| Limitation of Vignette | - Validity (especially in written vignettes and unrealistic vignette) - Interpretation of vignette (especially in the structured vignette) - Relationship between belief and action |
| Building a vignette | The internal validity of Vignettes Different kinds of sources could be used |
| | The topic of the research The character of participant groups Type of vignette and its character should be appropriate to specific individuals in the study (such as complexity versus simplicity, vagueness versus clarity, informal versus formal language, and length of the vignette). |
| | Notification, relevancy, realism, and timing - They look like real, related to people's lives and match participants' interest - Non-use of hypothetical vignettes - The use of attractive vignette - The timing of vignettes -Vignette need to be understandable |

Using vignettes, researchers can represent particular scenarios accurately, concretely, and with relatively low requirements in terms of

time, personnel, funding, or other resources (104).

The summary of the important aspects of

vignettes issues is provided in Table 1.

The present narrative review has a number of strengths and limitations. This is the first review of the literature about vignette. During the review, the authors found that there is a paucity of study on vignette in different fields or research strategies. The limitation of this study was institutional subscription limitation and lack of access to more databases and related references. Straightforward guidelines are definitely needed to elucidate the methodological apprehensions for using vignettes (14). Furthermore, there is no way to conduct a meta-analysis in this regard.

Conclusion

Vignette has been acknowledged as an efficient tool for inquiry purposes with different applications (12). They have been gradually recognized as an effective tool to obtain knowledge, opinions, attitudes, beliefs, values, perceptions, and dispositions of participants (7, 12, 19, 45, 50, 51, 74, 96). Nevertheless, it is employed more frequently for the assessment of participants' beliefs and attitudes (52) especially about sensitive subjects (6).

Furthermore, vignette has been utilized to encounter complex issues (19) explore emotions that arise in difficult situations, explore skilled decision-making (105) and judgments (18), comment on stories illustrating scenarios and situations (45), or discover participants' moral values and ethical codes (25).

Acknowledgement

The support provided by the Mashhad University of Medical Science is appreciated.

Conflicts of interest

Authors declared no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Wilks T. The use of vignettes in qualitative research into social work values. *Qualitative Social Work*. 2004; 3(1):78-87.
2. Hughes R, Huby M. The construction and interpretation of vignettes in social research. *Social Work & Social Sciences Review*. 2004; 11(1):36-51.
3. Cohen D, Strayer J. Empathy in conduct disordered and comparison youth. *Developmental Psychology*. 1996; 32(6):988-998.
4. Ouslander JG, Tymchuk AJ, Krynski MD. Decisions about enteral tube feeding amongst the elderly. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 1993; 41(1):70-77.
5. Hughes R, Huby M. The application of vignettes in social and nursing research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2002; 37(4):382-386.
6. Puzanova ZV, Larina TI, Sharma SD. LGBT community in the focus of sociological research. *RUDN Journal of Sociology*. 2017; 17(3):364-372.
7. Finch J. The Vignette technique in survey research. *Sociology*. 1987; 21(1):105-114
8. Hill M. Research review: participatory research with children. *Child and Family Social Work*. 1997; 2(3):171-183
9. Hazel N. Elicitation techniques with young people. *Social Research Update*. 1995; 12(4):1-8.
10. Hughes R. Considering the vignette technique and its application to a study of drug injecting and HIV risk and safer behaviour. *Sociology of Health and Illness*. 1998; 20(3):381-400.
11. Forrester D. Aids-related risk factors, medical diagnosis, do-not-resuscitate orders and aggressiveness of nursing care. *Nursing Research*. 1990; 39:350-354.
12. Bradbury-Jones C, Taylor J, Herber OR. Vignette development and administration: a framework for protecting research participants. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2014; 17(2):427-440.
13. Rousseau A, Rozenberg P, Perrodeau E, Deneux-Tharoux C, Ravaut P. Variations in postpartum hemorrhage management among midwives: a national vignette-based study. *PloS One*. 2016; 11(4):e0152863.
14. Rizvi S. Using fiction to reveal truth: challenges of using vignettes to understand participant experiences within qualitative research. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*; 2019; 20(1):10-28.
15. Rousseau A, Azria E, Baumann S, Deneux-Tharoux C, Senat M. Do obstetricians apply the national guidelines? A vignette-based study assessing practices for prevention of preterm birth. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2019; 5:477.
16. Rousseau A, Rozenberg P, Ravaut P. Assessing complex emergency management with clinical case-vignettes: a validation study. *PloS One*. 2015; 10(9):e0138663.
17. Thida T, Liabsuetrakul T. Type of health care workers and quality of antenatal and delivery care in communities of Myanmar: an experience-and vignette-based study. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*. 2019; 34(4):e1597-e1608.
18. Smithson J. Using and analysing focus groups:

- limitations and possibilities. *Social Research Methodology*. 2000; 3(2):103-119.
19. Nygren L, Oltedal S. Constructing a vignette for qualitative comparative family research. *Journal of Comparative Social Work*. 2015; 10(1):1-4.
 20. Kandemir A, Budd R. Using vignettes to explore reality and values with young people. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*. 2018; 19(2):2914.
 21. Brondani MA, MacEntee MI, Bryant SR, O'Neill B. Using written vignettes in focus groups among older adults to discuss oral health as a sensitive topic. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2008; 18(8):1145-1153.
 22. Renold E. Using vignettes in qualitative research. *Building Research Capacity*. 2002; 3:3-5.
 23. Sheppard M, Ryan K. Practitioners as rule using analysts: a further development of process knowledge in social work. *British Journal of Social Work*. 2003; 33(2):157-176.
 24. Sumrall WJ, West LS. Using a vignette technique to compare various groups' beliefs about the future. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 1998; 29(4):45-51.
 25. Barter C, Renold E. 'I wanna tell you a story': exploring the application of vignettes in qualitative research with children and young people. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2000; 3(4):307-323.
 26. Kalafat J, Gagliano C. The use of simulations to assess the impact of an adolescent suicide response curriculum. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*. 1996; 26(4):359-364.
 27. Gliner JA, Haber E, Weise J. Use of controlled vignettes in evaluation: does type of response method make a difference. *Evaluation and Programme Planning*. 1999; 22(3):313-322.
 28. Wagenaar AC, Denk CE, Hannan PJ, Chen H, Harwood EM. Liability of commercial and social hosts for alcohol-related injuries: a national survey of accountability norms and judgments. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2001; 65(3):344-68.
 29. Denk CE, Benson JM, Fletcher JC, Reigel TM. How do Americans want to die? A factorial vignette survey of public attitudes about end-of-life medical decision-making. *Social Science Research*. 1997; 26(1):95-120.
 30. Thurman QC, Lam JA, Rossi PH. Sorting out the cuckoo's nest: a factorial survey approach to the study of popular conceptions of mental illness. *The Sociological Quarterly*. 1988; 29(4):565-588.
 31. Alves WM, Rossi PH. Who should get what? Fairness judgments of the distribution of earnings. *American Journal of Sociology*. 1978; 84(3):541-564.
 32. Perkins DV, Hudson BL, Gray DM, Stewart M. Decisions and justifications by community mental health providers about hypothetical ethical dilemmas. *Focus*. 2003; 49(4):1317-1395.
 33. Rahman N. Caregivers' sensitivity to conflict: the use of the vignette methodology. *Journal of Elder Abuse & Neglect*. 1996; 8(1):35-47.
 34. Barry MM, Greene SM. Implicit models of mental disorder: a qualitative approach to the delineation of public attitudes. *The Irish Journal of Psychology*. 1992; 13(2):141-160.
 35. Gourlay A, Mshana G, Birdthistle I, Bulugu G, Zaba B, Urassa M. Using vignettes in qualitative research to explore barriers and facilitating factors to the uptake of prevention of mother-to-child transmission services in rural Tanzania: a critical analysis. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2014; 14(1):21.
 36. Coleman M, Ganong LH, Killian T, McDaniel AK. Child support obligations: attitudes and rationale. *Journal of Family Issues*. 1999; 20(1):46-68.
 37. Scott AB, Rosenberg H. Presbyterian congregation members' perceptions of drinking problems in clergy and other helping professionals. *Addiction Research*. 1998; 6(1):35-42.
 38. Swartzman LC, McDermid AJ. The impact of contextual cues on the interpretation of and response to physical symptoms: a vignette approach. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 1993; 16(2):183-198.
 39. Redding RE, Reppucci ND. Effects of lawyers' socio-political attitudes on their judgments of social science in legal decision making. *Law and Human Behavior*. 1999; 23(1):31-54.
 40. Kirmayer LJ, Fletcher CM, Boothroyd LJ. Inuit attitudes toward deviant behavior: a vignette study. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 1997; 185(2):78-86.
 41. Constant D, Kiesler S, Sproull L. What's mine is ours, or is it? A study of attitudes about information sharing. *Information Systems Research*. 1994; 5(4):400-421.
 42. Kendall E, Shum D, Halson D, Bunning S, Teh M. The assessment of social problem-solving ability following traumatic brain injury. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*. 1997; 5:68-78.
 43. Freidenberg J, Mulvihill M, Caraballo LR. From ethnography to survey: some methodological issues in research on health seeking in East Harlem. *Human Organization*. 1993; 1:151-161.
 44. Foux RM, Martella RC, Marchand-Martella NE. The acquisition, maintenance, and generalization of problem-solving skills by closed head-injured adults. *Behavior Therapy*. 1989; 20(1):61-76.
 45. Barter C, Renold E. The use of vignettes in qualitative research. *Social Research Update*. 1999; 25(9):1-6.
 46. Wade A, Neale B, Smart C. New childhoods? Children and co-parenting after divorce. *Swindon:*

- ESRC; 1999.
47. Neale B. Post divorce childhoods. Available at: URL: <http://www.leeds.ac.uk/family>; 1999.
 48. Barter C, Renold E. Physical and sexual violence amongst children in residential settings. Available at: URL: <http://www.brunel.ac.uk/dept/law/vrp>; 1999.
 49. AC02906705 A. International journal of social research methodology: theory and practice. Abingdon: Taylor & Francis; 1998.
 50. Schoenberg NE, Ravdal H. Using vignettes in awareness and attitudinal research. International Journal of Social Research Methodology. 2000; 3(1):63-74.
 51. Paddam A, Barnes D, Langdon D. Constructing vignettes to investigate anger in multiple sclerosis. Nurse Researcher. 2010; 17(2):60-73.
 52. Tertysnikova AG. The study of social representations by the vignette method: a quantitative interpretation. RUDN Journal of Sociology. 2017; 17(3):306-316.
 53. Randhawa H, Jiwa A, Oremus M. Identifying the components of clinical vignettes describing Alzheimer's disease or other dementias: a scoping review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making. 2015; 15(1):54.
 54. Bettor L, Hendrick SS, Hendrick C. Gender and sexual standards in dating relationships. Personal Relationships. 1995; 2(4):359-369.
 55. Gould D. Using vignettes to collect data for nursing research studies: how valid are the findings?. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 1996; 5(4):207-212.
 56. Sim AJ, Milner J, Love J, Lishman J. Definitions of need: can disabled people and care professionals agree? Disability & Society. 1998; 13(1):53-74.
 57. Richman J, Mercer D. The vignette revisited: Evil and the forensic nurse. Nurse Researcher (through 2013). 2002; 9(4):70.
 58. Liker JK. Family prestige judgments: Bringing in real-world complexities. Measuring social judgments: the factorial survey approach. California: Sage Publications; 1982. P. 123-143.
 59. Bendelow G. Using visual imagery to explore gendered notions of pain. Sage Focus Editions. 1993; 152:212.
 60. Collins BE, Brief DE. Using person-perception vignette methodologies to uncover the symbolic meanings of teacher behaviors in the milgram paradigm. Journal of Social Issues. 1995; 51(3):89-106.
 61. Fook J. Identifying expert social work: qualitative practitioner research. Qualitative research in social work. California: Sage Publications; 2001. P. 116-131.
 62. Flaskerud JH. Use of vignettes to elicit responses toward broad concepts. Nursing Research. 1979; 28(4):210-212.
 63. Lanza ML, Carifio J. Use of a panel of experts to establish validity for patient assault vignettes. Evaluation Review. 1992; 16(1):82-92.
 64. Kinicki AJ, Hom PW, Trost MR, Wade KJ. Effects of category prototypes on performance-rating accuracy. Journal of Applied Psychology. 1995; 80(3):354.
 65. Parkinson B, Manstead AS. Making sense of emotion in stories and social life. Cognition & Emotion. 1993; 7(3-4):295-323.
 66. Faia MA. The vagaries of the vignette world: a comment on Alves and Rossi. American Journal of Sociology. 1980; 85(4):951.
 67. Loman LA, Larkin WE. Rejection of the mentally ill: an experiment in labeling. Sociological Quarterly. 1976; 17(4):555-560.
 68. Weisman J, Brosigole L. Facial affect recognition in singly diagnosed mentally retarded people and normal young children: a methodological comparison. International Journal of Neuroscience. 1994; 75(1-2):45-55.
 69. McKeganey NE, Abel M, Taylor A, Frischer M, Goldberg D, Green S. The preparedness to share injecting equipment: an analysis using vignettes. Addiction. 1995; 90(9):1253-1260.
 70. Cheek J, Jones J. What nurses say they do and need: implications for the educational preparation of nurses. Nurse Education Today. 2003; 23(1):40-50.
 71. Levkoff S, Wetle T. Clinical decision making in the care of the aged. Journal of Aging and Health. 1989; 1(1):83-101.
 72. Ulrich CM, Ratcliffe SJ. Hypothetical vignettes in empirical bioethics research. Advances in Bioethics. 2007; 11:161-181.
 73. Spratt T. The influence of child protection orientation on child welfare practice. British Journal of Social Work. 2001; 31(6):933-954.
 74. Wilson J, While AE. Methodological issues surrounding the use of vignettes in qualitative research. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 1998; 12(1):79-86.
 75. Kalafat J, Elias M, Gara MA. The relationship of bystander intervention variables to adolescents' responses to suicidal peers. Journal of Primary Prevention. 1993; 13(4):231-244.
 76. Evans SC, Roberts MC, Keeley JW, Blossom JB, Amaro CM, Garcia AM, et al. Vignette methodologies for studying clinicians' decision-making: validity, utility, and application in ICD-11 field studies. International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology. 2015; 15(2):160-170.
 77. Wolfe J, Fairclough DL, Clarridge BR, Daniels ER, Emanuel EJ. Stability of attitudes regarding physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia among oncology patients, physicians, and the general

- public. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 1999; 17(4):1274.
78. Peterson-Badali M, Abramovitch R, Duda J. Young children's legal knowledge and reasoning ability. *Canadian Journal of Criminology*. 1997; 39:145-170.
79. Nosanchuk TA. The vignette as an experimental approach to the study of social status: an exploratory study. *Social Science Research*. 1972; 1(1):107-120.
80. Spalding NJ, Phillips T. Exploring the use of vignettes: From validity to trustworthiness. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2007; 17(7):954-62.
81. Chau JP, Chang AM, Lee IF, Ip WY, Lee DT, Wootton Y. Effects of using videotaped vignettes on enhancing students' critical thinking ability in a baccalaureate nursing programme. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2001; 36(1):112-119.
82. Torres S. Vignette methodology and culture-relevance: lessons learned through a project on successful aging with Iranian immigrants to Sweden. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Gerontology*. 2009; 24(1):93-114.
83. Chambers CT, Craig KD. An intrusive impact of anchors in children's faces pain scales. *Pain*. 1998; 78(1):27-37.
84. Bunting L, Lazenbatt A, Wallace I. Information sharing and reporting systems in the UK and Ireland: Professional barriers to reporting child maltreatment concerns. *Child Abuse Review*. 2010; 19(3):187-202.
85. Soydan H, Stål R. How to use the vignette technique in cross-cultural social work research. *Scandinavian Journal of Social Welfare*. 1994; 3(2):75-80.
86. Soydan H. A cross-cultural comparison of how social workers in Sweden and England assess a migrant family. *Scandinavian Journal of Social Welfare*. 1995; 4(2):85-93.
87. Hegedus KS. Providers' and consumers' perspective of nurses' caring behaviours. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 1999; 30(5):1090-1096.
88. O'Connor TG, Hirsch N. Intra-Individual differences and relationship-specificity of mentalising in early adolescence. *Social Development*. 1999; 8(2):256-274.
89. Lawrie SM, Martin K, McNeill G, Drife J, Chrystie P, Reid A, et al. General practitioners' attitudes to psychiatric and medical illness. *Psychological Medicine*. 1998; 28(6):1463-1467.
90. Sniderman PM, Grob DB. Innovations in experimental design in attitude surveys. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 1996; 22(1):377-399.
91. Hamers JP, van den Hout MA, Halfens RJ, Abu-Saad HH, Heijltjes AE. Differences in pain assessment and decisions regarding the administration of analgesics between novices, intermediates and experts in pediatric nursing. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 1997; 34(5):325-334.
92. Stolte JF. The context of satisficing in vignette research. *The Journal of Social Psychology*. 1994; 134(6):727-233.
93. Herskovits MJ. The hypothetical situation: a technique of field research. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology*. 1950; 6(1):32-40.
94. Anderson HH. *Projective techniques & other devices for understanding the dynamics of human behavior*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall; 1951.
95. Hawkins R, McCallum C. Effects of mandatory notification training on the tendency to report hypothetical cases of child abuse and neglect. *Child Abuse Review: Journal of the British Association for the Study and Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect*. 2001; 10(5):301-322.
96. Barnatt J, Shakman K, Enterline S, Cochran-Smith M, Ludlow L. *Teaching for social justice: using vignettes to assess attitudes and beliefs*. New York: American Educational Research Association Annual Meeting; 2007.
97. Forrester D, McCambridge J, Waissbein C, Rollnick S. How do child and family social workers talk to parents about child welfare concerns? *Child Abuse Review: Journal of the British Association for the Study and Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect*. 2008; 17(1):23-35.
98. Killick C, Taylor BJ. Judgements of social care professionals on elder abuse referrals: a factorial survey. *British Journal of Social Work*. 2012; 42(5):814-832.
99. Steckley L. Touch, physical restraint and therapeutic containment in residential child care. *British Journal of Social Work*. 2012; 42(3):537-555.
100. Stokes J, Schmidt G. Race, poverty and child protection decision making. *British Journal of Social Work*. 2011; 41(6):1105-1121.
101. Feng JY, Wu YW. Nurses' intention to report child abuse in Taiwan: a test of the theory of planned behavior. *Research in Nursing & Health*. 2005; 28(4):337-347.
102. Ko C, Koh CK. The influence of abuse situation and respondent background characteristics on Korean nurses' perceptions of child sexual abuse: a fractional factorial design. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2007; 44(7):1165-1176.
103. Taylor J, Lauder W, Moy M, Corlett J. Practitioner assessments of 'good enough' parenting: factorial survey. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2009; 18(8):1180-1189.
104. Wallander L. 25 years of factorial surveys in sociology: a review. *Social Science Research*. 2009; 38(3):505-520.

105. Križ K, Skivenes M. Risk assessment in different welfare states: a comparative case vignette study. *Children and Youth Service Review*. 2013; 35:1862-1870.