

Determinants of Employed Women's Attitude towards Childbearing

Farnoosh Davoudi (MD)¹, Neda Soleimanvandy Azar (MSc)², Habibeh ezoji (MSc)³, Khadijeh Ezoji (MD)^{4*}

¹ Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Graduate, Department of Social Welfare Management, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Senior Lecturer, Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Nursing, Azad University of Chalus, Chalus, Iran

⁴ Associate Professor, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article type:
Original article

Article History:
Received: 29-Feb-2020
Accepted: 02-Jul-2020

Key words:
Attitude
Childbearing
Attitude
Employed Women

ABSTRACT

Background & aim: Iranian policymakers have recently shifted away from a birth control towards a pro-fertility policies. In this regard, it is indispensable to recognize the determinants of childbearing willingness to evaluate the influence of these policies on certain target groups. With this background in mind, the present study aimed to find determinants of childbearing willingness in employed women.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 140 married females, holding non-professional job positions in Iran University of Medical Sciences (IUMS), Tehran, Iran, completed a self-administered questionnaire about demographic characteristics, actual and ideal number of children, own and spouse's willingness to have another child, in addition to perceived incentives and barriers of childbearing. Chi-square test and logistic regression analysis were applied for data analysis.

Results: The mean age of participants was reported as 39.48±6.915. The actual and ideal number of participants' children were obtained at 1.32±0.77 and 2.3±1.06, respectively (P-value<0.001). 50 (35.7%) of all respondents were willing to bear a child, including 37(74%) of cases who had at least one child at the time of study and 13 (26%) of those with no children (P=0.016). "The pleasure of having a baby" was their strongest explanation for childbearing desire, while "challenges of raising children" was regarded as the strongest obstacle in the group of participants who were reluctant to have children. On the other hand, in the group of cases who were willing to have a (another) child, "flexible work hours" and "job security during and after maternity leave" were the most important suggested interventions to raise children.

Conclusion: "The pleasure of having a baby" and "challenges of raising children" were the most important incentive and obstacle for childbearing, respectively.

► Please cite this paper as:

Davoudi F, Soleimanvandy Azar N, ezoji H, Ezoji Kh. Determinants of Employed Women's Attitude Towards Childbearing Journal of Midwifery and Reproductive Health. 2020; 8(?): 1-9. DOI: 10.22038/jmrh.2020.46884.1574

Introduction

Fertility is one of the main determinants of population growth, and women's attitudes to fertility and the number of children are of utmost importance in this growth. Numerous studies have been conducted in different parts of the world, including Iran, on factors affecting fertility. Nonetheless, the impact of each of these factors on fertility has not been fully determined owing

to the differences in social, cultural, and economic status in each time perspective (1-6).

A study carried out in China showed that the preference for a small family was associated with younger age, urban residence, and a higher level of education (3).

Another study performed in Japan compared the difference between the actual and desired

* *Corresponding author:* Khadijeh Ezoji, Associate Professor, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran. Tel: 00989111958480; Email: dr.kh.ezoji@gmail.com

number of children in rural and urban areas. The mentioned study indicated that the mean desired number of children was 2.55 which was significantly more than the mean actual number of children (1.77) in all generations(7). According to the Statistical Center of Iran and the Institute of Statistics, fertility rates declined in all walks of society in our country in the last three decades. However, this rate has been reported to be far below the replacement level in employed people with higher education and people with high economic status(8).

The Iranian government has recently reversed its population policy since this country is facing a rapidly aging population; therefore, women are encouraged to have more children (9). In our country, several studies have been conducted on factors affecting fertility. For instance, a study carried out in Tabriz assessed the effect of religious orientation, social acceptance, social participation, and children's economic benefits on childbearing. Based on the results of the mentioned study, social participation had a negative effect, while religious orientation exerted an additive effect on the tendency for childbearing (10, 11).

Given new population policies in the country and specific differences in fertility in the working groups, educated people, and people with high economic status, the current study assessed the childbearing attitudes of employed women in Iran University of Medical Sciences. It strived to answer the following questions: what are concerns or incentives for having children in this group and each one is more important? Is the difference between the real number of children and ideal number of children? What approaches can be used to increase fertility? In this regard, the present study aimed to answer these questions about factors affecting childbearing in employed women.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study which was conducted in summer 2015 involved a convenience sample of 140 employed women working as administrative assistants in Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. All employed women who were willing to participate in the study were enrolled. All participants were university administrative staff. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1)

unwillingness to participate in the study 2) being a Faculty member. To increase the response rate, the questionnaires were collected after 20 min. The sample size was calculated based on the formula presented below:

$$n = \frac{(Z_{\alpha/2} * P * (1-P)) / d^2}{1 + 1/n} \left(\frac{(Z_{\alpha/2} * P * (1-P)) / d^2}{1} - 1 \right)$$

Where P represents the probability of childbearing among working women (considered 50% according to previous studies), and d is 0.05. Out of about 220 eligible study samples, 140 subjects were obtained.

A self-administered questionnaire was used to gather information. The first group of questions aimed to collect information on the socio-demographic data, including respondent's age, number of children, the ideal number of children, education level, respondent and her husband's willingness to have a (another) child. The second set sought information on explanations about personal attitudes toward childbearing. Participants could select as many statements that applied to their situation. The hindrance list included challenges of raising a child, pregnancy/delivery complications, direct costs of pregnancy and delivery, compromise the health of mothers, fear of birth defects, balancing working and parenting, and having the desired family size. On the other hand, the motivation list included the pleasure of having a baby, strengthening family ties, perceiving the "only" child as "lonely", only children's desire to have siblings, spouse's willingness to have a (another) child, peer pressure, social norms, and fantasizing a child of the other sex. Respondents were asked to select their strongest explanation at the end of each list.

In the third section, respondents were asked the following question: "Thinking of having a/another child, which one would help **YOU** as a working woman?" Participants could select one or more of the following: Flexible work hours, access to child care facilities at the workplace, availability of nannies or family caregivers, parenting skill development programs, financial and welfare support, as well as job security during and after maternity leave.

For content validity, 10 academic members at the Faculty of Iran University of Medical Sciences (IUMS) reviewed the questionnaire. The final questionnaire was prepared by

applying the obtained opinions. Cronbach's alpha was used to determine the reliability of this questionnaire ($\alpha=0.7$).

The obtained data were analyzed in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows version 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Data was summarized through frequencies, means, and standard deviations. Chi-square test was utilized for bivariate comparison of groups according to the willingness to have a (another) child. Moreover, the logistic regression test examined the role of demographic factors, in addition to stated

hindrance and motivations, in the prediction of participants' intention to have a (another) child. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of participants was reported as 39.48 ± 6.915 . Their current and ideal numbers of children were obtained at 1.32 ± 0.771 and 2.3 ± 1.063 , respectively. There was a statistically significant difference between the current and ideal number of children ($P\text{-value} < 0.001$).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants according to their willingness to have a (another) child

Variable	Total	Pro childbearing	P-value
Level of education, Number (%)			
High school diploma	14 (10 %)	2 (14.3%)	0.315
College degree	13 (9.3%)	3 (23.1%)	
Undergraduate degree	65 (46.4%)	25 (39.1%)	
Post graduate degree	48 (34.3%)	20 (41.6%)	
Spouse's willingness to have a (another) child, Number (%)			
Positive	50 (35.7%)	37 (74%)	0.0001
Negative	90(64.3%)	13 (14.4%)	
Having children at the time of study, Number (%)			
Yes	118(84.3%)	37(31.4%)	0.013
No	22(15.7%)	13(59.1%)	

A number of 50 individuals had intentions to have a (another) child, 59.1% of whom had no children, and 31.4% had at least one child at the time of the study ($P\text{-value} = 0.016$).

Table 1 depicts the demographic characteristics of participants according to their willingness to have a (another) child "The pleasure of having a baby" was perceived as the strongest incentive to childbearing by 71 of all 140 respondents.

Challenges of raising children" was valued as the strongest obstacle for childbearing by 95 of all 140 respondents, 35 (36.8%) of those who were willing to have a (another) child, and 60 (63.2%) of the other group ($P\text{-value}=0.710$). Table 2 demonstrates the frequency of choosing each explanation as a motivation or hindrance to childbearing. Moreover, out of 50 participants who intended to have a (another) child, 29 cases regarded "flexible work hours" as most helpful to them as working mothers. Table 3 presents the

frequency of choosing each suggested intervention/facility by the participants who were willing to have a (another) child.

Examining the group of respondents with no children at the time of the study, none of the suggested hindering factors performed any roles in the prediction of their childbearing (UN) willingness. The only significant motivating predictor for these people was "The pleasure of having a baby". Those who were willing to experience such pleasure were two times more willing to have a child, compared to others ($\text{Exp (B)}=2.039$, $P\text{-value}=0.048$, $\text{CI}=1.006\text{-}4.133$)

Considering childbearing a way for "strengthening family ties" ($\text{Exp (B)} =2.812$, $P\text{-value}=0.011$, $\text{CI}=1.263\text{-}6.262$) and fantasizing a child of the other sex ($\text{Exp (B)} =2.891$, $P\text{-value}=0.035$, $\text{CI}=1.077\text{-}7.759$) were other independent predictors of childbearing willingness.

Table 2. Frequency of choosing each explanation as a motivation or hindrance to childbearing, according to respondents' willingness to have a (another) child

Variable	Fertility intention		P-value
	Yes	No	
Hindrance to childbearing			
Challenges of raising children	35 (36.8%)	60 (63.2%)	0.710
Direct costs of pregnancy and delivery	22 (32.4%)	46 (67.6%)	0.482
Difficulty of maintaining balance between working and parental responsibilities	34 (41%)	49 (59%)	0.151
Fear of birth defect	16 (43.2%)	21 (56.8%)	0.318
Pregnancy/delivery complications	10 (47.6%)	11 (52.4%)	0.227
Compromise the health of mothers	14 (38.9%)	22 (61.1%)	0.689
Having the desired family size	3 (10%)	27 (90%)	0.001
Motivations for childbearing			
Pleasure of having a baby	31 (43.7%)	40 (56.3%)	0.054
Strengthening family ties	18 (54.5%)	15 (45.5%)	0.013
Perceiving the "only" child as "lonely"*	36 (41.9%)	50 (58.1%)	0.070
Spouse's willingness to have a/more child/children	3 (27.3%)	8 (72.2%)	0.746
Peer pressure	5 (62.5%)	3 (37.5%)	0.134
Social norms	6 (66.7%)	3 (33.3%)	0.069
Fantasizing a child of the other sex	11 (57.9%)	8 (42.1%)	0.040
Only children's desire to have siblings *	13 (52%)	12 (42%)	0.069

* These two factors were only examined in the group that already had babies.

Table 3. Frequency of choosing each suggested intervention/facility by individuals willing to have a (another) child

Variable	willing to have a (another) child		P-value
	Yes	No	
Availability of nannies or family caregivers	28 (36.4%)	49 (63.6%)	>0.99
Access to child care facilities at workplace	21 (48.4%)	22 (51.2%)	0.037
Job security during and after maternity leave	30 (50.8%)	29 (49.2%)	0.005
Flexible work hours	34 (42%)	41 (58%)	0.077
Financial and welfare support	24 (39.3%)	37 (60.7%)	0.479
Parenting skill development programs	15 (38.5%)	24 (61.5%)	0.697

Discussion

The present study was carried out to investigate the determinants of employed women's attitudes toward childbearing. Based on the results, 35.7% of participants were willing to have a (another) child. Another study conducted across 32 provinces of Iran reported that childbearing intention was 31.8%. The number of participants' children was significantly lower than what they desired to have. In Japan, the mean desired number of children was 2.55 which was significantly more than the mean actual number of children (1.77) in all generations(7).

Responses to the questionnaire revealed that "getting a companion for the previous child" was

the most prevalent potential incentive for having a baby in the group that already had children. On the other hand, "anxiety about the burdens of childbearing" was reported to be the most predominant potential hindrance to childbearing. In addition, the most paramount concern among women who had never delivered a baby was childbearing itself, followed by the expenses related to pregnancy and childbearing. A study conducted among working women in Isfahan, Iran, pointed to the prevalence of the tendency to have a second child among working women. Moreover, the mentioned study indicated that getting a companion for the first child was the most important factor contributing to this tendency. The majority of women delivered their first baby under 30 years old, and the desired number of children for these women was two. The high tendency among working

women in the mentioned study does not agree with the fertility intention among women in the present study. Nevertheless, there are similarities between the two studies in getting a companion for the first child and the desired number of children(12).

Among the factors inhibiting fertility intention in people with at least one child, the sufficiency of the number of children in women's view would decrease the probability of fertility intention by 10%. On the contrary, among the factors encouraging fertility intention, social norms, having a companion for the previous child, the insistence on the part of the previous child, the solidarity of the family structure, and having a child of the desired gender boosted the probability of fertility 12, 4, 4, and 3 folds, respectively. In individuals without children, no significant relationship was found among any of the factors inhibiting fertility intention, as well as the factors encouraging fertility intention; nonetheless, the pleasure of having a baby alone would lead a 19-fold increase in childbearing tendency.

A study conducted in Semnan, Iran, showed that cohorts born in 1961 attached great importance to having children. The reasons for giving such weight among people born in this period include support benefits, emotional factors, as well as the continuity and cohesiveness of the family. The lowest value placed on having children belongs to those born after 1981. Economic costs, costs pertaining to lost opportunities, and the crucial importance of the psychological and emotional benefits of having children are the characteristics of this group of people. The importance of costs and economic problems have been emphasized in this study as the factors differentiating the two generations in their fertility intentions. Nevertheless, in the present study, the sufficiency of the number of children in women's views has been found as the major contributing determinant discouraging fertility intention (13). A study in Tabriz, Iran, examined the relationship of fertility intention with religious tendency, social acceptance, social engagement, and economic benefits among young couples in this city. As a result, the variables used in this study revealed a 22% variation in dependent variables.

In the mentioned study, social engagement and religious tendency were reported to have reducing and increasing effects on fertility intention, respectively (10, 14). In the current study, social norms performed a prominent role in fertility intention. The individuals with fertility intention in our study regarded "flexible work hours" as the most important solution to help fertility which reflects women's ability and tendency to play roles both at home as mothers, as well as in society.

As evidenced by the results of the current study, there was a difference between the actual and desired number of children in the individuals' views. Considering the obtained results, it seems that the improvement of childbearing conditions and revision of working women's work hours would contribute significantly to their fertility intention.

Conclusion

Approximately 36% of the employed women in our study were willing to have a (another) child and there was statistically significant difference between the actual and desired number of children. "The pleasure of having a baby" was the most important incentive for childbearing and "Flexible work hours" Was the most importantly suggested interventions to raise children.

Acknowledgements

The authors' deepest appreciation goes to all the staff of Iran University of Medical Sciences for their assistance in the implementation of the present study.

Conflicts of interest

Authors declared no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Abbasi MJ, Mehryar A, Jones G, McDonald P. Revolution, war and modernization: Population policy and fertility change in Iran. *Journal of population research*. 2002;19(1):25-46.
2. Adibi SM, Arjmand SE, Darvishzadeh Z. The investigation of fertility increase and effective factors on it among the kord clan in Andimeshk. *Journal of Iranian Social Development Studies*. 2012; 4(1):81-98. [Persian]

3. Ding QJ, Hesketh T. Family size, fertility preferences, and sex ratio in China in the era of the one child family policy: results from national family planning and reproductive health survey. *British Medical Journal Publishing Group*; 2006; 19; 333(7564): 371-373.
4. Hussain R, Fikree FF, Berendes H. The role of son preference in reproductive behaviour in Pakistan. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2000;78:379-88.
5. Jayaraman A, Mishra V, Arnold F. The relationship of family size and composition to fertility desires, contraceptive adoption and method choice in South Asia. *International perspectives on sexual and reproductive health*. 2009; 35(1): 29-38.
6. Srivastava N, Srivastava R. Women, work, and employment outcomes in rural India. *Economic and political weekly*. 2010; xlv(8): 49-63.
7. Matsumoto Y, Yamabe S. Family size preference and factors affecting the fertility rate in Hyogo, Japan. *Reproductive Health*. 2013;10(6):1-8.
8. Torabi F, Baschieri A. Ethnic differences in transition to first marriage in Iran: The role of marriage market, women's socio-economic status, and process of development. *Demographic Research*. 2010; 22 (2):29-62.
9. Abbasi-Shavazi MJ. Recent changes and the future of fertility in Iran. 2002. Paper presented at the expert group meeting on continuing fertility transition, Population Division of the United Nations, New York, pp. 425-439(1)
10. Kalantari S, Abbaszadeh M, Amin MF, Rakeei BN. The sociological study of attitude to child bearing and it's some related factors (Case study: married youth In Tabriz City). *Journal Of Applied Sociology*. 2010;2(37): 83-104.
11. Mansourian S, Khoushnevis A. Sex Preferences and the Desire of Married Women for Fertilty Behavior: A Case Study Carried out in Tehran. *Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanities Of Shiraz University*. 2006;۲۴(2): 129-146.
12. Hejazi N. Women's attitude to having second child and factors effecting on it. *Journal of Health System Research*. 2013;9(7):771-81.
13. Razeghi-Nasrabad H, Saraei H. A cohort analysis of women's attitude toward value of children in Semnan province. *Woman in Development and Politics*. 2014;12(2):229-50.
14. Eshaghi M, Mohebbi F, Papi Nezhad S, Jahandar Z. The challenges of childbearing women in a qualitative study. *Tehran, Iranian Women in Development & Politics*. 2014;12(1).